Case 4:24-cr-00515-JST Document 9 Filed 10/28/24 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United	States of America,)	Case No. 74. CR		
	Plaintiff, v.)	STIPULATED ORD UNDER THE SPEE	ER EXCLUDING TIL	
VE	RA YOUNG)		UCI	28 2024
	Defendant(s).)	i wet	NORTH DISTRIC	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA ND OFFICE
Frial Act from	as stated by the parties on the remains 10 28 24 to 12 utweigh the best interest of the court makes this finding	public and and bases t	_ and finds that the end the defendant in a speed his continuance on the f	t excludes time under the sof justice served by the trial. See 18 U.S.C. § following factor(s):	ne Speedy ne
	Failure to grant a continuance See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B	would be li)(i).	kely to result in a misca	arriage of justice.	
.	The case is so unusual or so c defendants, the nature or law, that it is unreasonable itself within the time limits es	of the prose to expect a	cution, or the exited the exited the determinant of the exited the determinant of the exited	pretrial proceedings or t	the trial
	Failure to grant a continuance taking into account the exerci	would deny se of due di	y the defendant reasona ligence. See 18 U.S.C.	ble time to obtain couns § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	el,
	Failure to grant a continuance counsel's other scheduled cas See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B	e commitme	easonably deny the defe ents, taking into accoun	ndant continuity of count the exercise of due dil	nsel, given igence.
	Failure to grant a continuance necessary for effective preparase 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(E	ation, takin	easonably deny the defe g into account the exerc	endant the reasonable tir sise of due diligence.	ne
	With the consent of the defendisposition of criminal cases, paragraph and — based on the time limits for a preliminate extending the 30-day time per exclusions set forth above). So	the court se e parties' sh ary hearing riod for an i	its the preliminary hear lowing of good cause— under Federal Rule of C ndictment under the Sp	ing to the date set forth in – finds good cause for e Criminal Procedure 5.1 a cedy Trial Act (based o	extending and for
IT IS	SO ORDERED.	51 24	1 ni	11)	
DATE	ED: 10/28/24		Kandis A. Westmo United States Magi		
STIPU	JLATED: Attorney for Defend	dant	Assistant United St		